**PME-NA 2018 Worksheet for Reviewing Theoretical Proposals**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Title of Proposal: | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | | Score (5 is high) | | | | | |
| **Choice of Theoretical Foci** | | 5 | 4 | | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| *Is it clear what issue the proposal addresses?*  *Is the issue the proposal addresses an important one?*  *Does the research build on and move an area of mathematics education forward?* | | | | | | | |
| **Relationship to PMENA 2018 Conference Theme** | | 5 | 4 | 3 | | 2 | 1 |
| *Does the work relate to the conference theme Looking Back, Looking Ahead: Celebrating 40 Years of PME-NA?* | | | | | | | |
| **Rigor of Argument** | | 5 | 4 | 3 | | 2 | 1 |
| *Does the proposal offer a penetrating analysis of the issues?*  *Does the proposal make a careful and clear argument for its theoretical contributions?* | | | | | | | |
| **Implications** | | 5 | 4 | 3 | | 2 | 1 |
| *Does the proposal extend our understanding of the issues?*  *Does the proposal sharpen our understanding of distinctions that had not been made before or that were made weakly?*  *Does the work have implications for practice at any level of mathematics (e.g., classroom teaching, research, policy)?* | | | | | | | |
| **Quality of Writing** | | 5 | 4 | 3 | | 2 | 1 |
| *Does the proposal conform to the formatting style for the conference? Does the proposal display high quality writing?*  *Does the proposal communicate ideas effectively?* | | | | | | | |
| **Recommendation** | Definitely Accept | Accept in an alternate format | | | | Reject | |
| **Comments to assist the Local Organizing Committee in making the final decision.** | | | | | | | |
| *Is the proposal of such high quality that it should definitely be on the program in the format requested? Should it be on the program if there is space or in an alternative format? Should it not be on the program at all? If you feel that the proposal would be better as a format different than it was submitted, please use this space to explain why. For example, a Research Report proposal may report results of a preliminary nature and thus be better suited to the Brief Research Report format, or a Brief Research Report may report of plans for research, and thus be a better suited to the Poster format.* | | | | | | | |
| **Comments for the author(s) to improve the quality of their work.** | | | | | | | |
| *Please provide useful feedback on how the author(s) might make improvements as they move forward with the work described in the proposal. In the case of acceptance, please make comments useful for preparing both the final paper and the presentation. Note that there will be minimal time for revisions before the final papers are due, so please suggest easy-to-make changes that you feel would make for a better final paper.* | | | | | | | |